

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

JUN 22 2004

**Yee &  
Associates, P.C.**13760 Noel Road  
Suite 900  
Dallas, Texas 75240**OFFICIAL**Main No. (972) 367-2001  
Facsimile (972) 367-2008**Facsimile Cover Sheet**

To: Examiner Any Ly Art Unit: 2172	Facsimile No.: (703) 746-5628 Main No. of Receiving Firm: (703) 306-4527
From: Rebecca Clayton Legal Assistant to Stephen J. Walder, Jr.	No. of Pages Including Cover Sheet: 2
<b>Message:</b>  Thank you for your time this morning. As we discussed, I am faxing you an agenda for discussion during a phone interview with Steve Walder. Steve also requests that your Primary Examiner be present at the interview. For the reasons stated on the following page, Steve believes that the final rejection is improper and would like to discuss the matter with you and your Primary Examiner.  Since you are leaving for vacation next week, Steve would like to request that the phone interview be scheduled for this week. Provided you can get the file, I am proposing a phone interview for Friday, June 25 <sup>th</sup> at 2:00 p.m. (eastern). Please let me know if this time and date will work for you and your Primary Examiner.  Thank you.	
C/M # No. AUS000185US1; Application No. 09/544,274	
DATE: Tuesday, June 22, 2004	

**Please contact us at (972) 367-2001 if you do not receive all pages indicated above or experience any difficulty in receiving this facsimile.***This Facsimile is intended only for the use of the addressee and, if the addressee is a client or their agent, contains privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this facsimile, you have received this facsimile inadvertently and in error. Any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying is strictly prohibited. If you received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone and return the facsimile to us immediately.*

**FIRST OFFICE ACTION:**

103 rejection: claims 1, 3-4, 7-12, 14-15, 17-19, 25-26, 31-34, 39-42 and 44

basis: Ng et al., Elmasri and Sarkar

103 rejection: claim 5-6, 16, 22-23, 29-30, 37-38 and 45

basis: Ng et al., Elmasri, Sarkar and Crus

103 rejection: claims 20-21, 24, 27-28, 35-36, 43, 46-48

basis: Ng et al. and Elmasri

**RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION:**

Amended claims 1 and 12, canceled claims 2 and 13.

Current Independent Claims: 1, 12, 20, 27, 35, 43, 46

**Only Claims Amended were Independent claims 1 and 12.**

**FINAL OFFICE ACTION:**

112 Second Paragraph: claims 20 and 46 (Neither of these Claims were Amended)

Objection: claims 14-19

103 rejection: claims 1, 3-4, 7-12, 14-15, 17-19

basis: Ng et al., Elmasri and Sarkar

103 rejection: claims 5-6, 16 and 45

basis: Ng et al., Elmasri, Sarkar and Crus

103 rejection: claims 20-21, 24, 25-26, 27-28, 31-34, 35-36, 39-42, 43 and 46-48

basis: Ng et al. and Elmasri (The highlighted claims were not amended  
yet the basis for the rejection has changed)

103 rejection: claims 22-23, 29-30 and 37-38

basis: Ng et al., Elmasri and Crus (The highlighted claims were not amended  
yet the basis for the rejection has changed)

103 rejection: claim 44

basis: Ng et al., Elmasri and Sarkar

Thus, a new 112 second paragraph rejection has been made and a change in the basis for the rejections of claims 22-23, 25-26, 29-30, 31-34, 37-38 and 39-42 has been made.

These changes were not necessitated by any of the amendments to claims 1 and 12 made in the filed Response.